

# Improving a Family of Approximation Algorithms to Edge Color Multigraphs

Alberto Caprara<sup>\*1</sup> and Romeo Rizzi<sup>°</sup>

<sup>\*</sup> DEIS, Università di Bologna, Viale Risorgimento 2, 40136 Bologna, Italy,  
e-mail: [acaprara@deis.unibo.it](mailto:acaprara@deis.unibo.it)

<sup>°</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Trento, 38050 Povo (TN), Italy  
e-mail: [rrizzi@science.unitn.it](mailto:rrizzi@science.unitn.it)

February 1998

## Abstract

Given a multigraph  $G = (V, E)$ , the *Edge Coloring Problem* (ECP) calls for the minimum number  $\chi$  of colors needed to color the edges in  $E$  so that all edges incident with a common node are assigned different colors. The best known polynomial time approximation algorithms for ECP belong to a same family, which is likely to contain, for each positive integer  $k$ , an algorithm which uses at most  $\lceil ((2k+1)\chi + (2k-2))/2k \rceil$  colors. For  $k \leq 5$  the existence of the corresponding algorithm was shown, whereas for larger values of  $k$  the question is open. We show that, for any  $k$  such that the corresponding algorithm exists, it is possible to improve the algorithm so as to use at most  $\lceil ((2k+1)\chi + (2k-3))/2k \rceil$  colors. It is easily shown that the  $(2k-3)/2k$  term cannot be reduced further, unless  $P = NP$ . We also discuss how our result can be used to extend the set of cases in which well-known conjectures on ECP are valid.

**Key words:** Edge Coloring, Approximation Algorithm, Matching.

## 1 Introduction

Given a multigraph  $G = (V, E)$ , the *Edge Coloring Problem* (ECP) calls for coloring the edges in  $E$  by using as few colors as possible so that all edges incident with a common node are assigned different colors. Let  $\chi$  denote the optimal solution value of ECP, i.e. the minimum number of colors required, and  $\Delta$  be the maximum degree of a node in  $V$ . Clearly,  $\chi \geq \Delta$ . Moreover, if  $G$  is simple, i.e. it does not contain parallel edges, a basic result of Vizing [14] states that  $\chi \leq \Delta + 1$ . On the other hand, Holyer showed in [7] that ECP is NP-hard even for simple graphs for which  $\Delta = 3$ , and hence  $\chi = 3$  or 4. Therefore, unless  $P = NP$ , the algorithmic proofs of Vizing's result (the fastest algorithm is due to Gabow, Nishizeki, Kariv, Leven and Terada [3]) constitute best possible, in terms of worst-case behavior, polynomial-time approximation algorithms for ECP on simple graphs, namely algorithms which are guaranteed to return a solution of value within one unit of the optimum.

Unfortunately, many relevant applications of ECP are associated with nonsimple graphs (see e.g. Fiorini and Wilson [2]). For general graphs, it is easy to see that the difference between  $\chi$  and  $\Delta$  can be arbitrarily large: Consider for instance a multitriangle with three nodes and  $k$  parallel edges between each pair of nodes, for which  $\chi = 3k$  and  $\Delta = 2k$ . Nevertheless, it is common belief that there exists a polynomial-time algorithm for ECP on multigraphs which

---

<sup>1</sup>Alberto Caprara was partially supported by MURST and CNR, Italy.

is guaranteed to return a solution within one unit of the optimum, even if such an algorithm is unknown so far. At present, the best known polynomial-time approximation algorithm for ECP is due to Nishizeki and Kashiwagi [9], and is guaranteed to color the edges of a multigraph by using at most  $\lfloor (11\chi + 8)/10 \rfloor$  colors. This algorithm improves on previous algorithms of Andersen [1], Nishizeki and Sato [10], Goldberg [5] and Hochbaum, Nishizeki and Shmoys [6], which are all based on similar approaches. Whereas it would be conceptually clear how one should try to generalize the main idea of these algorithms, so as to achieve a solution using at most  $\chi + 1$  colors (see [6]), the proof techniques used in [1, 10, 5, 6, 9] require such a complicated case analysis that probably any future improvement on the 11/10 factor will require some alternative approach. This is probably the reason why the algorithm in [9], which is more than 10 years old, is still the best one known for a widely-studied problem as ECP.

In this paper, we show how to reduce to 7/10 the constant term 8/10 in the performance of the algorithm in [9], obtaining an algorithm for ECP using at most  $\lfloor (11\chi + 7)/10 \rfloor$  colors. It is easily shown that the 7/10 term cannot be reduced further, unless  $P = NP$ . More generally, we show a technique to achieve an ECP solution of value at most  $\lfloor \alpha\chi + \gamma \rfloor$  when an approximation algorithm using at most  $\max\{\lfloor \alpha\Delta + \beta \rfloor, \chi\}$  colors is available, where  $\gamma = \max\{\beta + 1 - \alpha, 4 - 3\alpha\}$ . Even if our improvement is not impressive, it is the first one on the algorithm of [9], almost 8 years after its publication. Moreover, our method is applicable also to possible future algorithms belonging to the same family as those in [1, 10, 5, 6, 9]. We also discuss how our result can be used to extend the set of cases in which well-known conjectures on ECP formulated by Seymour [12] and Goldberg [5] are valid.

We conclude this section with the basic definitions and notation used in the rest of the paper. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a multigraph for which the maximum degree of a node is  $\Delta$  and the optimal ECP solution has value  $\chi$ . For convenience, and without loss of generality, we suppose that  $G$  is connected. A *matching* of  $G$  is an edge set  $M \subset E$  such that each node of  $G$  is the endpoint of at most one edge in  $M$ . If each node in  $S \subseteq V$  is the endpoint of some edge in  $M$  then we say that  $M$  is an *S-matching*. Given a matching  $M$ , the graph  $G \setminus M$  is the one with node set  $V$  and edge set  $E \setminus M$ . Clearly, any ECP solution using  $\nu$  colors defines a partition of the edge set  $E$  into  $\nu$  matchings, here denoted by  $C_1, \dots, C_\nu$ , each corresponding to the edges which receive a same color. Given a node set  $S \subseteq V$ , we let  $\delta(S)$  denote the set of edges with exactly one endpoint in  $S$  and  $E(S)$  denote the set of edges with both endpoints in  $S$ . Moreover, the graph  $G \setminus S$  is the one with node set  $V \setminus S$  and edge set  $E(V \setminus S)$ . By *connected component* of a graph we mean a node set  $T \subseteq V$  such that  $\delta(T) = \emptyset$  and  $\delta(S) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $S \subset T$ .

## 2 The improvement

Let us consider a polynomial-time approximation algorithm for ECP, called *Approx*, guaranteed to return a solution which uses at most  $\max\{\lfloor \alpha\Delta + \beta \rfloor, \chi\}$  colors. We assume that  $\Delta \geq 3$ , otherwise ECP is easily solved, and  $\alpha \leq 4/3$ , since there exist algorithms capable of edge-coloring a multigraph using at most  $\max\{\lfloor 4\Delta/3 \rfloor, \chi\}$  colors. For example, the algorithm of [9] uses at most  $\max\{\lfloor (11\Delta + 8)/10 \rfloor, \chi\}$  colors.

Let  $X \subseteq V$  be the set of nodes of  $G$  having degree  $\Delta$ . The basic property used by our

improvement is the following

**Lemma 1** *If  $G$  does not contain any  $X$ -matching, then  $\chi \geq \Delta + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Assume  $\chi = \Delta$  and let  $C_1, \dots, C_\Delta$  be a  $\Delta$ -edge coloring of  $G$ , i.e. a partition of the edges of  $G$  into  $\Delta$  matchings. Then  $C_i$  is an  $X$ -matching for  $i = 1, \dots, \Delta$  and we have a contradiction.  $\square$

Our algorithm, called *Impr*, first checks for the existence of an  $X$ -matching  $M$ . If such an  $M$  exists, algorithm *Approx* is used to color the edges of  $G \setminus M$ , and then an additional color is used for the edges in  $M$ . Otherwise, algorithm *Approx* is applied to color the edges of  $G$ . The algorithm can be sketched as follows.

---

*Impr*( $G$ )                      *require:*  $G$  is a multigraph with  $\Delta \geq 3$

If  $G$  contains no  $X$ -matching, then return the coloring returned by *Approx*( $G$ ).

Let  $M$  be an  $X$ -matching of  $G$ . Let  $(C_1, \dots, C_k)$  be the coloring returned by *Approx*( $G \setminus M$ ). Return the coloring  $(C_1, \dots, C_k, M)$ .

---

**Proposition 1** *By using an algorithm *Approx* which is guaranteed to find an ECP solution using at most  $\max\{\lfloor \alpha\Delta + \beta \rfloor, \chi\}$  colors, algorithm *Impr* is guaranteed to find an ECP solution using at most  $\lfloor \alpha\chi + \gamma \rfloor$  colors, where  $\gamma = \max\{\beta + 1 - \alpha, 4 - 3\alpha\}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose first an  $X$ -matching  $M$  exists, and let  $G' = G \setminus M$ . In  $G'$ , the maximum degree  $\Delta'$  of a node equals  $\Delta - 1$ . Let  $\chi'$  denote the optimal solution value of ECP on  $G'$ . *Approx* returns a solution using at most  $\max\{\lfloor \alpha\Delta' + \beta \rfloor, \chi'\}$  colors. If the number of colors used is at most  $\lfloor \alpha\Delta' + \beta \rfloor$ , then the number of colors used by *Impr* to color  $G$  is at most  $\lfloor \alpha\Delta' + \beta \rfloor + 1 = \lfloor \alpha\Delta' + \beta + 1 \rfloor = \lfloor \alpha\Delta + \beta + 1 - \alpha \rfloor$ . Otherwise, the number of colors used by *Approx* is  $\chi'$ , and then the number of colors used by *Approx* is  $\chi' + 1 \leq \chi + 1$ . The relation  $1 + \chi \leq \lfloor \alpha\chi + \gamma \rfloor$  is equivalent to  $1 + \chi \leq \alpha\chi + \gamma$ , i.e.  $\gamma \geq 1 + (1 - \alpha)\chi$ . Since  $\chi \geq 3$  and  $\alpha \geq 4/3$ , the latter inequality is satisfied if and only if  $\gamma \geq 4 - 3\alpha$  holds.

Now suppose that no  $X$ -matching exists. By Lemma 1,  $\chi \geq \Delta + 1$ . Therefore, if *Approx* returns a solution using at most  $\lfloor \alpha\Delta + \beta \rfloor$  colors, then the number of colors used by *Impr* is at most  $\lfloor \alpha(\chi - 1) + \beta \rfloor = \lfloor \alpha\chi + \beta - \alpha \rfloor$ . Otherwise, *Approx* returns a solution using at most  $\chi$  colors, i.e. an optimal one.  $\square$

As a corollary, by using as algorithm *Approx* the one presented in [9], for which  $\alpha = 11/10$  and  $\beta = 8/10$ , *Impr* edge colors a graph by using at most  $\lfloor (11\chi + 7)/10 \rfloor$  colors. As already mentioned, assuming  $P \neq NP$ , by [7] any polynomial-time approximation algorithm for ECP may return a solution of value 4 when  $\chi = 3$ . Hence, if the algorithm delivers a solution using at most  $\lfloor \alpha\chi + \gamma \rfloor$  colors, the relation  $\gamma \geq 4 - 3\alpha$  must hold. This shows that  $7/10$  is the best possible value for  $\gamma$  as long as  $\alpha = 11/10$ .

By using standard matching reduction techniques (see e.g. Gerards [4]) the determination of an  $X$ -matching, if any, can be carried out by finding a matching of maximum cardinality

in the graph  $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$  obtained by taking two identical copies of  $G$ , say  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$  and  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ , and, for each node  $v \in V \setminus X$ , by introducing a new edge  $(v_1, v_2) \in \tilde{E}$ , where  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are the counterparts of  $v$  in  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , respectively. Clearly  $G$  has an  $X$ -matching if and only if  $\tilde{G}$  has a perfect matching. Moreover, the complexity of finding a perfect matching of  $\tilde{G}$ , if any, is  $O(|\tilde{V}|^{1/2}|\tilde{E}|)$  (see [8]), i.e.  $O(|V|^{1/2}|E|)$ , since  $|\tilde{V}| = 2|V| = O(|V|)$  and  $|\tilde{E}| = 2|E| + |V \setminus X| = O(|E|)$  (remember that  $G$  is connected). Accordingly, our improvement does not increase the asymptotic  $O(|E|(|V| + \Delta))$  running time of the algorithm in [9] and the others in the family [1, 10, 5, 6].

The family of algorithms including that of [9] and previous ones, [1, 10, 5, 6], is likely to contain, for each positive integer  $k$ , an algorithm for which the number of colors needed is at most

$$\max \left\{ \left\lceil \frac{(2k+1)\Delta + (2k-2)}{2k} \right\rceil, \chi \right\}.$$

For  $k \leq 5$ , the existence of the corresponding algorithms was shown, while for larger values of  $k$  the question is open. Anyway, if the algorithm corresponding to some other value of  $k$  turns out to exist, our technique will immediately provide an approximation algorithm requiring at most

$$\left\lceil \frac{(2k+1)\chi + (2k-3)}{2k} \right\rceil$$

colors, decreasing the constant term in the worst-case performance bound to its minimum possible value (unless  $P = NP$ ).

### 3 Stronger Results

For a multigraph  $G = (V, E)$ , define  $\Gamma$  as the maximum value of  $\lceil 2|E(S)|/(|S| - 1) \rceil$  computed over all subsets  $S \subseteq V$  with  $|S|$  odd. It is easy to check that  $\chi \geq \Gamma$ , since each color can be assigned to at most  $(|S| - 1)/2$  edges in  $E(S)$  if  $|S|$  is odd. Accordingly,  $\Phi = \max\{\Delta, \Gamma\}$  is a lower bound on the optimal ECP solution value. A well-known conjecture formulated by Seymour [12] and Goldberg [5] states that for every graph  $\chi \leq \max\{\Delta + 1, \Gamma\}$ . A weaker form of this conjecture (see Seymour [12]) reads

**Conjecture 1** *For every graph,  $\chi \leq \Phi + 1$ .*

Conjecture 1 sounds very interesting also from an algorithmic point of view since the value of  $\Phi$  can be determined in polynomial time (see Padberg and Wolsey [11]).

Already in [12] Seymour claimed that the conjecture was true for  $\Phi \leq 6$ . The algorithm presented in [9] returns a solution which uses in fact no more than  $\max\{\lfloor (11\Delta + 8)/10 \rfloor, \Gamma\}$  colors, showing that Conjecture 1 holds for  $\Phi \leq 11$ . In this section, we show that our method guarantees finding a solution using at most  $\lfloor (11\Phi + 7)/10 \rfloor$  colors, extending to  $\Phi \leq 12$  the known range of validity of the conjecture. Again, our method is applicable to possible future improvements on the algorithm in the family of [9], as discussed in the previous section. In particular, before our result, improving from  $(2k+1)/2k$  to  $(2k+3)/(2k+2)$  the coefficient for  $\chi$  in the worst-case performance bound of the best ECP algorithm allowed one to extend the range of validity of the conjecture from  $\Phi \leq 2k+1$  to  $\Phi \leq 2k+3$ . Now, the same improvement results into an extension of the range of validity from  $\Phi \leq 2k+2$  to  $\Phi \leq 2k+4$ .

Suppose the polynomial-time approximation algorithm *Approx* mentioned in the previous section is guaranteed to find a solution which uses at most  $\max\{\lfloor \alpha\Delta + \beta \rfloor, \Gamma\}$  colors. Again, assume  $\Delta \geq 3$  and  $\alpha \leq 4/3$  and let  $X \subseteq V$  be the set of nodes in  $V$  having degree  $\Delta$ . We start by proving a stronger version of Property 1.

An *X-Tutte prover* for  $G$  is a node set  $S \subset V$  such that  $G \setminus S$  has strictly more than  $|S|$  connected components of odd cardinality containing only nodes in  $X$ . The following result, which is essentially a reformulation of the well-known Tutte characterization of graphs not having a perfect matching (see e.g. [4]), was pointed to our attention by Seymour [13].

**Lemma 2**  *$G$  contains an  $X$ -matching if and only if it does not contain an  $X$ -Tutte prover.*

**Proof.** Clearly, if  $G$  contains an  $X$ -Tutte prover it does not contain an  $X$ -matching. To prove the converse implication, consider the graph  $\overline{G} = (\overline{V}, \overline{E})$  obtained from  $G = (V, E)$  as follows. If  $|V|$  is odd, let  $\overline{V} = V \cup \{t\}$ , where  $t$  is an additional dummy node, otherwise let  $\overline{V} = V$ . Moreover, let  $\overline{E} = E \cup \{(u, v) : u, v \in \overline{V} \setminus X\}$ . Since all nodes in  $\overline{V} \setminus X$  are pairwise connected and  $|\overline{V}|$  is even,  $\overline{G}$  contains an  $X$ -matching if and only if  $\overline{G}$  contains a perfect matching. By Tutte's characterization,  $\overline{G}$  has a perfect matching if and only if it does not contain a node set  $\overline{S} \subset \overline{V}$  such that  $\overline{G} \setminus \overline{S}$  has  $p > |\overline{S}|$  connected components  $\overline{T}_1, \dots, \overline{T}_p$  such that  $|\overline{T}_i|$  is odd for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ . Suppose  $\overline{G}$  contains such an  $\overline{S}$  and then no perfect matching, i.e.  $G$  contains no  $X$ -matching. Since  $\overline{G} \setminus X$  is a complete graph, at most one component among  $\overline{T}_1, \dots, \overline{T}_p$  can contain some node not in  $X$ . Furthermore,  $|\overline{T}_1| + \dots + |\overline{T}_p| + |\overline{S}|$  is even, meaning that  $p$  and  $|\overline{S}|$  have the same parity and hence  $p \geq |\overline{S}| + 2$ . Let  $S$  be equal to  $\overline{S} \setminus \{t\}$  if  $|\overline{V}|$  is even and to  $\overline{S}$  otherwise: It is immediate to check that  $G \setminus S$  has at least  $p - 1 > |S|$  connected components of odd cardinality containing only nodes in  $X$ , i.e.  $S$  is an  $X$ -Tutte prover.  $\square$

The above variant of Tutte's characterization allows us to prove

**Lemma 3** *If  $G$  does not contain any  $X$ -matching, then  $\Gamma \geq \Delta + 1$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2, if  $G$  does not contain an  $X$ -matching, then it contains an  $X$ -Tutte prover  $S$ . Let  $T_1, \dots, T_p$ ,  $p > |S|$ , be the connected components of  $G \setminus S$  with  $|T_i|$  odd and  $T_i \subseteq X$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ . We show that  $2|E(T_i)|/(|T_i| - 1) > \Delta$  for some  $i$ . Suppose indeed this is false, i.e.  $2|E(T_i)|/(|T_i| - 1) \leq \Delta$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ . As all nodes in  $T_1, \dots, T_p$  have degree  $\Delta$ ,  $\Delta|T_i| = 2|E(T_i)| + |\delta(T_i)|$ , hence  $|\delta(T_i)| \geq \Delta$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p$ . Therefore,  $|\bigcup_{i=1}^p \delta(T_i)| \geq p\Delta > |S|\Delta$ , which is a contradiction since all edges in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^p \delta(T_i)$  have an endpoint in  $S$  and all nodes in  $S$  have degree  $\leq \Delta$ .  $\square$

We can then prove a statement analogous to Proposition 1, by following exactly the same proof, using Lemma 3 instead of Lemma 1.

**Proposition 2** *By using an algorithm *Approx* which is guaranteed to find an ECP solution using at most  $\max\{\lfloor \alpha\Delta + \beta \rfloor, \Gamma\}$  colors, algorithm *Impr* is guaranteed to find an ECP solution using at most  $\lfloor \alpha\Phi + \gamma \rfloor$  colors, where  $\gamma = \max\{\beta + 1 - \alpha, 4 - 3\alpha\}$ .*

To check that Conjecture 1 holds for  $\Phi \leq 12$ , just observe that the solution returned by algorithm *Impr* applied by using algorithm *Approx* of [9] may use strictly more than  $\Phi + 1$  colors only if  $\lfloor (11\Phi + 7)/10 \rfloor \geq \Phi + 2$ , i.e.  $\Phi \geq 13$ .

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Paul Seymour, who spent some of his time in composing a proof [13] that Conjecture 1 holds for  $\Delta \leq 6$ . His proof gave us motivation to investigate this problem. Moreover, we are grateful to Odile Marcotte and Takao Nishizeki for helpful discussions on the subject.

## References

- [1] L. Andersen, “On Edge Colorings of Graphs”, *Math. Scand.* 40 (1977) 161–175.
- [2] S. Fiorini and R.J. Wilson, *Edge-Colouring of Graphs*, Pitman, London, 1977.
- [3] H.N. Gabow, T. Nishizeki, O. Kariv, D. Leven and O. Terada, “Algorithms for Edge-Coloring Graphs”, Technical Report TRECIS-8501, Tohoku University, 1985.
- [4] A.M.H. Gerards, “Matching”, in M.O. Ball, T.L. Magnanti, C.L. Monma and G.L. Nemhauser (ed.s), *Handbook in Operations Research and Management Sciences*, Volume *Networks*, (1995).
- [5] M.K. Goldberg, “Edge-Colorings of Multigraphs: Recoloring Techniques”, *Journal of Graph Theory* 8 (1984) 122-136.
- [6] D.S. Hochbaum, T. Nishizeki and D.B. Shmoys, “A Better than “Best Possible” Algorithm to Edge Color Multigraphs”, *Journal of Algorithms* 7 (1986) 79–104.
- [7] I. Holyer, “The NP-Completeness of Edge-Coloring”, *SIAM Journal on Computing* 10 (1981) 718–720.
- [8] S. Micali and V.V. Vazirani, “An  $O(|V|^{\frac{1}{2}}|E|)$  Algorithm for Finding Maximum Matchings in General Graphs”, *Proceedings of the 21th IEEE Symposium on the Foundations of Computer Science* (1980) 17–27, IEEE Computer Society Press.
- [9] T. Nishizeki and K. Kashiwagi, “On the 1.1 Edge-Coloring of Multigraphs”, *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* 3 (1990) 391–410.
- [10] T. Nishizeki and M. Sato, “An Algorithm for Edge-Coloring Multigraphs”, *Trans. Inst. of Electronics and Communication Eng. J67-D* 4 (1984) 466–471 (in Japanese).
- [11] M.W. Padberg and L.A. Wolsey, “Fractional Covers for Forests and Matchings”, *Mathematical Programming* 29 (1984) 1–14.
- [12] P.D. Seymour, “On Multi-Colourings of Cubic Graphs, and Conjectures of Fulkerson and Tutte”, *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, Series (3)* 38 (1979) 423–460.
- [13] P.D. Seymour, *private communication*.
- [14] V.G. Vizing, “On an Estimate of the Chromatic Class of a  $p$ -Graph”, *Diskretetnyi Analiz* 3 (1964) 25–30.